Cabinet's Response to Scrutiny Review of Hospital Discharges

| Recommenda | tion | Cabinet Decision (Accepted/ Rejected/ Deferred) | Cabinet Response (detailing proposed action if accepted, rationale for rejection, and why and when issue will be reconsidered if deferred) | Officer Responsible | Action by (Date) |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------|
| to how t services | ys should be considered as o involve community more effectively with cases and their discharge ments. | Accepted | A Business Process Review is underway. It is looking at how Community Services can be better engaged with admission & discharge processes. Report will be presented to Urgent Care Management Committee | Michaela Cox | 13.11.13 |
| to disch factual i feeding Membei | ception of problems relating arge is not supported by nformation therefore; this back to elected rs should be a priority. | Accepted | Factual information in relation to complaints, concerns raised relating to discharges needs to be checked and validated by managers prior to feeding back to Members to ensure accuracy. | Michaela Cox Maxine Dennis | Ongoing |
| explored raised w need to | s to achieve this should be d. Any individual issues vith an Elected Member be fed in by the most iate route. | | The Scrutiny Report contains information which should reassure Elected Members. | Complete | Complete |
| discharg | nications are key within the ge process and scope to this should be explored. | Accepted | A leaflet and information on website to be developed. Learning from customer's forum to review. | Maxine Dennis | 31.12.13 |
| Literatur making for vulne conside | re in plain language and the process understandable erable patients should be red. | | Review the scope to improve communications with staff and patients regarding discharge processes. | Maxine Dennis | 31.12.13 |
| its disch supporte request this is bi | re Co-ordination Centre and large support service are led by members and they that a progress report on rought to the Health Select lesion in 6-12 months. | Accepted | Progress report to be provided on Care co-ordination Centre in 6-12 months. | Maxine Dennis | April 2014 |

| | T- | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| ers welcomed the re- ion of the Operational irges Group and requested a ss report on their work in 6-12 s. This should also go to the Select Commission. | Accepted | Group in 6-12 months. | Maxine Dennis | April 2014 |
| ers endorse the | Accepted | Outcomes of business process re-engineering will be presented | | |
| | | to the Health Select Commission in a report by January 2014 | Michaela Cox | 31 January 2014 |
| | | | | 2014 |
| nes are monitored by the | | | | |
| Select Commission. | | | | |
| olicy on speeding up delayed | Accepted | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Maxine Dennis | April 2014 |
| | | reviewed and completed. | | |
| • | | | | |
| et should consider whether care services should be | Accepted | Current 7 day operation is considered to be adequate. Requirements in future grant conditions will result in a service | Michaela Cox | Complete |
| ed at a greater level out of | | review. | | |
| to move towards a 7 day | | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| | on of the Operational rges Group and requested a ss report on their work in 6-12 s. This should also go to the Select Commission. ers endorse the rentation of the business is re-engineering as a result review and request that the researe monitored by the Select Commission. Ilicy on speeding up delayed rges due to patient choice be looked at as part of the sis re-engineering process. It should consider whether care services should be end at a greater level out of | ion of the Operational reges Group and requested a se report on their work in 6-12 s. This should also go to the Select Commission. The sendorse the mentation of the business is re-engineering as a result review and request that the mes are monitored by the Select Commission. This should request that the mes are monitored by the Select Commission. The series are monitored by t | Group in 6-12 months. Group in 6-12 months. | on of the Operational rges Group and requested a sex report on their work in 6-12 s. This should also go to the Select Commission. Accepted Outcomes of business process re-engineering will be presented to the Health Select Commission in a report by January 2014 Michaela Cox services are monitored by the Select Commission. Accepted The policy on delayed discharges due to patient choice be looked at as part of the sex re-engineering process. Accepted The policy on delayed discharges due to patient choice will be reviewed and completed. Accepted The policy on delayed discharges due to patient choice will be reviewed and completed. Current 7 day operation is considered to be adequate. Requirements in future grant conditions will result in a service review. Michaela Cox Michaela Cox Michaela Cox Requirements in future grant conditions will result in a service review. |